

Name:	<a href="#"><u>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</u></a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_UPJOZ_4616</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Alternative Pest Control Method for Myzus persicae (Sulzer) with the Application of Mineral Oils</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> <b>Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This paper highlights the effectiveness of mineral oil in controlling potato aphids, including <i>Myzus persicae</i> , by demonstrating its impact on aphid mortality and movement, offering valuable insights for pest management in potato fields.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>New title "Re-examining the Use of Mineral Oils for controlling <i>Myzus persicae</i> (Sulzer) in potato fields</b> This title emphasizes that mineral oils are an established method rather than an alternative.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References are very old, try revising and add latest references.	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Muzafar Riyaz
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu, India