Name:	**UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_UPJOZ_4606**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Field screening of black gram genotypes for resistance against the spotted pod borer, Maruca vitrata (Fabricius) under southern Telangana conditions, India**
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.
This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review
Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/
Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, important for scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable?	Yes	

(If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a good overview of the study.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is well-written and well-organized. The subsections are clear and concise, and the structure of the manuscript follows a standard scientific paper format.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is suitable for scientific communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ravi Kumar Rajak**
Department, University & Country	**Sardar Patel University, India**