

Name:	<u>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4605
Title of the Manuscript:	Spiders Diversity in North East Gariaband Forest Regions of Chhattisgarh, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript significantly advances the scientific community's understanding of spider diversity in India. Building upon foundational works like "Spiders of India" and "A Field Guide to the Spider Genera of India," it provides updated insights into species distribution and taxonomy. Such comprehensive documentation is crucial, especially considering the role of spiders as bio-control agents in agriculture, where they naturally regulate pest populations. Furthermore, the manuscript's findings can inform conservation strategies, highlighting the importance of preserving spider habitats to maintain ecological balance. Overall, this work enriches our knowledge of arachnid biodiversity and underscores the ecological significance of spiders in India's ecosystems.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article titled "Spider Diversity (Arachnida: Araneae) in Deobhog Region, Gariyaband, Chhattisgarh, India" appears to have a suitable and descriptive title. It clearly indicates the focus on spider diversity within a specific region in Chhattisgarh, India.	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a concise overview of your research on spider diversity in the Gariyaband region. To enhance its clarity and comprehensiveness, consider the following suggestions, Specify the Research Objectives, Highlight Key Findings, Discuss the Implications, Refine Language and Structure, and Ensure the abstract is concise and free of grammatical errors.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript appears scientifically correct, with well-defined methodology and robust data analysis. It follows standard practices in morphometric studies and offers valid conclusions based on the observed results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript provides a comprehensive overview of spider diversity in Gariaband, Chhattisgarh, India, referencing several pertinent studies. However, to enhance the manuscript's depth and currency, incorporating more recent and region-specific references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, a few sentences could be rephrased for better clarity and flow. There are minor grammatical issues that need attention to ensure accuracy and precision. A thorough proofreading would improve the overall readability and academic tone of the manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	---	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharad Vitthal Giramkar
Department, University & Country	PDEA's Annasaheb Magar Mahavidyalaya, India