

Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4601
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPREHENSIVE SURVEY OF AVIFAUNAL DIVERSITY OF CENTRAL UNIVERSITY OF ODISHA
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work here delivered is a good one, still it needs several major edit and query cleaning before it is ready for publishing. Please try to incorporate line numbers in a MS, so that it could be a little bit less haphazard for both reviewer and the authors. First of all, omit the word paper, "It is a research article in a scientific journal"	
Is the title of the article suitable?	Repetition of "of" in the title is a little bit irritating, replace few of them with appropriate alternative words.	

(If not please suggest an alternative title		
--	--	--

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Please try to incorporate line numbers in a MS, so that it could be a little bit less haphazard for both reviewer and the authors. First of all, omit the word paper, “It is a research article in a scientific journal”</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section Study area The region is entitled to three comparatively definite seasons: summer, monsoon, and winter. (Write premonsoon and postmonsoon season, which are more appropriate.) though at times it may reach even more temperatures sometimes. (rearrange the phrase) falling between June and September captures most yearly rainfall with an average annual sum of 1,300 to 1,600 mm, mainly provided by the southwest monsoon. (compress the sentence into the annual rainfall data only) It would be productive for the residents as well as the migrants coming there. (Context?? Explain or omit the sentence) This Koraput region falls under the ecological zone of Eastern Highlands Moist Deciduous Forests which is mainly represented by deciduous tree species which drop their leaves seasonally according to climatic changes. Some of the tree species of the area include Sal (Shorea robusta), Teak (Tectona grandis), and Bamboo (Dendrocalamus strictus) along with several shrubs and understory species grows well in the very-drained soils and rain season during the monsoon (Check the grammar and readability of the section, also check the scientific names, Italic font needed, add the initial of discoverer at the end, which is a normalized convention.) Grasslands are also scattered on the campus grounds and are alternated with scrublands, which contribute to the additional foraging and nesting areas available for ground-landed and migratory birds (Add reference to support the statement) when the peak level of bird activity was observed. (Add valid reference to support this, also what is the sampling protocol? Elaborate that with proper references.) 	

The regular time gaps ensured that systematic observations were made across the seasons, so data collected at both periods is reliable and more representative.

(Daylight hours are similar in every season?, if not then point out)

The species identification was tested by consulting the standard field guides by Ali (2006), The Book of Indian Birds, and Grimmett et al. (1990) Birds of the Indian Subcontinent.

(It is not necessary to write down the book names, when you provide both in text citations and full references in the body)

High-resolution images of birds were taken using a Nikon P1000 camera. These images have served as visual records for documentation and aided in confirming species identity.

(if the authors have mentioned camera name and model, they may also attach a collage of notable bird pics in the figure section.)

Some species had unique vocalizations, which included characteristic calls and songs, as additional identifiers.

(Bird vocalization are matched with which reputable digital archives, if yes, please mention that with proper references.)

- Result section

(Elaborate why songbirds are high in diversity using few references)

(One should mention the major types of diet classification sources in both methodology and result section)

(Thoroughly check all of the taxonomic divisions along with Latin names, try to add scientist name initials,)

(the authors have mentioned 6 different study area in result section, but doesn't mention it in methodology section, Why??)

(The following Heatmap shows multiple combination of habitats, which is again very confusing to me, try to explain it in previous sections)

(No mention of separate habitats in the gis map also, try to incorporate it within, you have shown altitude regime, but no further view were shared in the MS about this)

- Discussion Section

(The authors can expand their comparative bird species count to not only urban-suburban academic campus, but also other government institutions, which will add much richer flavor in this MS.)

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The authors can add more vital references in all sections.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It should be better along with grammar and spell checks	
Optional/General comments	Need critical changes, opting for resubmission after revisions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pradipta Kumar Ghosh
Department, University & Country	Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Centenary College, India