

Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4601
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPREHENSIVE SURVEY OF AVIFAUNAL DIVERSITY OF CENTRAL UNIVERSITY OF ODISHA
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well written and contributes significantly to urban bird conservation and studies. The authors did well by observing the site and monitoring diversity for 12 months, time enough to capture diversity across seasons	
Is the title of the article suitable?	Very suitable	

(If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive and captures the key findings of the research as well as the ecological implications of the results	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It is scientifically correct, although the statistics lacks robustness	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not very sufficient and quite circumscribed. However the authors did well to cite some current works	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communication and the authors diction is quite rich	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nsor Charles Ayuk
Department, University & Country	Gombe State University, Nigeria