

Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4569
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths in two groups of domestic fowls managed under backyard system in Imphal West District of Manipur, India.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is well represented except for some grammatical errors.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No need to mention two groups. Try chicken and ducks instead of 2 groups	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Mention the area of sample collection in abstract. Also include relevant information from results.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need more of recent references. Check the format of references inside the content also	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is ok but there are places where grammar and arrangement of words need to be corrected	
Optional/General comments	Better to include the features that helped you to identify the parasites. Check for spelling mistakes in parasite names	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Archana P. S
Department, University & Country	Kerala Veterinary and Animal Science University, India