

Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4565
Title of the Manuscript:	New Record of a Phoretic Pseudoscorpion Species, <i>Chelifer museorum</i> (Arachnida: Pseudoscorpiones) Associated with Pelage of Bats in Lakhimpur-Kheri, Uttar Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Pseudoscorpions are still a poorly studied group. There is a great lack of information on the biology and natural history of most species. For this reason, I consider the record to be important for the species and the taxonomic group.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The name of the pseudoscorpion species is not correct and needs to be revised. Chelifer is a genus that belongs to the Cheliferidae family, while Cheiridium is a genus of the Cheiridiidae family. There is confusion about these names in the article, which leads to inaccuracy.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>The study is quite interesting, but there are some points that still need improvement. The introduction is written as a single paragraph, which makes it difficult to read and understand. I recommend that the authors separate the topics in the introduction into two or three paragraphs.</p> <p>With regard to the pseudoscorpion species, there is some confusion over the name of the genus. Chelifer belongs to the Cheliferidae family, not Cheridiidae. In this case, the species was identified as belonging to the genus Chelifer (family Cheliferidae) and subgenus Cheiridium (family Cheiridiidae).</p> <p>Perhaps the species is Cheiridium museorum. Figure 2 shows one of the pseudoscorpions, perhaps a male, in ventral view. I recommend inserting ventral and dorsal photos of both sexes. In addition, it is very important that the authors include a species diagnosis section, presenting an analysis of the taxonomic characters that confirm the species. A diagnosis makes the study more robust.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Everton Tizo-Pedroso
Department, University & Country	State University of Goiás, Brazil