MBI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4565
Title of the Manuscript:	New Record of a Phoretic Pseudoscorpion Species, Chelifer museorum (Arachnida: Pseudoscorpiones) Associated with Pelage of Bats in Lakhimpur-Kheri, Uttar Pradesh, India
Type of Article :	Original Research Article

PART 2

PART 2:	
FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The authors did not respond seriously to my comments (no explanation what change/no-change	
authors made and why) and their modification is a very-very little bit. So this MS is still not worthy of publishing	
So this his is still not worthy or publishing	
Please revised the paper according to this comments and give proper feedback	
This report may be useful because of a pseudoscorpion record of phoresy with bats is	
still poor. However this manuscript has some problems on a scientific viewpoint	
basically, so I do not accept this article.	
Firstly the authors have not follow after current taxonomy and systematics of	
pseudoscorpions: I recommend you strongly seeing World Pseudoscorpiones	
Catalog https://wac.nmbe.ch/order/pseudoscorpiones/3 and read several review of	
current pseudoscorpion taxonomy.	
I could not identify this species as Cheiridium museorum by the descriptions and	
figures: you have to write morphological characteristics more detail including some	
chaetotaxies/measurements and show another photographs (and/or sketches) as	
figures additionally.	
You did not write and show in detail the ectoparasites of bats observed in this study. Your	
conclusion, "This association may be considered as obligate commensalism with bat species."	
cannot be provided based on your observations and descriptions. You have to survey some reference about C. museorum and also review latest study with phoresy of pseudoscorpion.	
, and the same and	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)

MBI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1	
PART 3: Objective Evaluation:	
Guideline	MARKS for this REVISED manuscript
Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this REVISED manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0)	
Guideline: Accept (8-10)	
Revision required: (4-8) Rejected: (0-4)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miroslav Antov
Department, University & Country	University of Plovdiv "Paisii Hilendarski", Bulgaria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)