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|  | **Reviewer’s comment**  | **Author’s Feedback***(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.**  | This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it provides a detailed inventory of faunal diversity on the University of Rajasthan campus. By documenting 109 species across multiple taxonomic groups, it establishes a crucial baseline for understanding local biodiversity. The findings underscore the ecological value of urban green spaces in sustaining diverse life forms, including Arthropoda, Chordata, and Annelida. Furthermore, this study serves as a valuable resource for future conservation planning, biodiversity monitoring, and fostering awareness about the importance of preserving campus ecosystems.  |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?** **(If not please suggest an** **alternative title)**  | **Yes**  |  |
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| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.**  | The abstract has a clear structure, presenting the study's aim, methodology, key findings, and significance. However, for improvement I suggest the author to * Replace "a total of phylum(3), class(5), family(74), order(29), 109 species" with "a total of 3 phyla, 5 classes, 74 families, 29 orders, and 109 species.
* Use consistent formatting for taxa. For example, "Arthropoda (73.5%), Chordata (24.8%), and Annelida (1.8%).

 •  |  |
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* Ensure all references cited are properly listed in the bibliography of the research paper.
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“Preservation of Collected specimens were immediately preserved...” (should be: “Collected specimens were immediately preserved...”). * The sentence “Taxonomic classification of Identified specimens were categorized according to established taxonomic hierarchies, including Phylum, Class, Order, Family, and species name.” has a grammatical error. Specifically, the subject "Taxonomic classification" is singular, so the verb should be "was" instead of "were."
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