Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4558
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of Melon Fruit fly, Zeugodacus cucurbitae (Coquillett) with Improved Protein Bait Formulation
Type of the Article	

## General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/

Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

PART 1: Comments

Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Reviewer's comment Comment: The abstract is clear but could benefit from a more concise summary of the results and significance of the research. Suggestion: Reorganize the abstract to emphasize the key findings upfront. For example, start with the statement: "Gel proteinex bait traps were significantly more effective in reducing fruit fly incidences due to their ability to attract both male and female fruit flies." Suggestion: Add a brief note on the practical implications of these findings for cucurbit growers globally.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an	Comment: The methodology is detailed but may overwhelm readers unfamiliar with the setup. Suggestion: Simplify the description of traps and bait preparation by using a bullet-point	

alternative title)	format for clarity.	
	Suggestion: Include a brief rationale for selecting the study sites and periods, such as their relevance to cucurbit cultivation or fruit fly prevalence.	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comment: The abstract is clear but could benefit from a more concise summary of the results and significance of the research. Suggestion: Reorganize the abstract to emphasize the key findings upfront. For example, start with the statement: "Gel proteinex bait traps were significantly more effective in reducing fruit fly incidences due to their ability to attract both male and female fruit flies." Suggestion: Add a brief note on the practical implications of these findings for cucurbit growers globally.	
Is the manuscript	Preliminary and Confirmatory Experiments	
scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Comment: The tables and figures provide good support, but the discussion lacks sufficient interpretation of the data.	
	Suggestion: Compare the effectiveness of gel proteinex bait across different stages of the experiments (e.g., early vs. fruiting stages) and explain the reasons behind observed trends.	
	Suggestion: Highlight any potential limitations or external factors (e.g., weather conditions, trap placement accuracy) that might have influenced the results.	
	Male-to-Female Ratio	
	Comment: This section is informative but could link findings to their practical significance more strongly.	
	Suggestion: Discuss the implications of male-to-female ratios for integrated pest management strategies, emphasizing the importance of female trapping.	
	Evaluation of Incidence and Level of Incidence	
	Comment: The data is comprehensive, but the interpretation needs more focus on the "why" behind the results.	
	Suggestion: Relate the reduced incidence and level of incidence observed in gel proteinex bait to its biochemical properties or attractiveness to female fruit flies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	
Optional/General comments	Comment: The conclusion summarizes the findings but does not provide clear recommendations.
	Suggestion: Include practical recommendations for farmers or policymakers, such as "Gel proteinex bait traps should be incorporated into integrated pest management programs for cucurbit crops."
	Suggestion: Briefly outline future research directions, such as testing the bait on other crop species or under different climatic conditions.
	Comment: The references are adequate but could benefit from consistent formatting.
	Suggestion: Ensure all journal titles are italicized and check for consistent citation styles (e.g., author names, year placement).
	Suggestion: Include recent studies (post-2017) to provide a more current perspective on fruit fly management.

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Fawad Khan
Department, University & Country	Abdul Wali Khan University, Pakistan