

Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4543
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN SHELL LENGTH AND OTHER LINEAR MEASUREMENTS OF DOG CONCH (Laevistrombus canarium) COLLECTED FROM THE SUBTIDAL ZONE OF INFANTA, PANGASINAN, PHILIPPINES: A PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT
Type of the Article	Research article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>It is a bio-resource, tradable one. Availability of species also important.</b>  ❖ <b>Please include the aim or objective of the work at the end of the Introduction section.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes.</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Yes, comprehensive.</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	<b>Yes.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Sufficient.</b>	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<b>Good work.</b> This article can/may be published after adding the aim or objective of the research work in the Introduction section  I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer.	

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S.Balamurugan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Govt Arts College [Autonomous]Thanthonimalai, Bharathidasan University, India</b>