

Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4616
Title of the Manuscript:	Alternative Pest Control Method for <i>Myzus persicae</i> (Sulzer) with the Application of Mineral Oils
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript reports evaluation of mineral oils against <i>Myzus persicae</i> . However, it fails to fully justify the present investigation. On one hand, the author(s) report that mineral oils are frequently used against sucking pests on potatoes; at the same time mention that little is known about their potential to manage insect pests on this crop.	I have made the necessary changes.

Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It may be modified as suggested in the reviewed manuscript.	I have modified it.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, it is not at all comprehensive. It has been edited to some extent in the reviewed manuscript. However, it should be made more comprehensive based on results.	I have made it comprehensive as per reviewers comments.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I could not find any reference in the manuscript. They are altogether missing.	I have added some.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, not at all. It is very poorly written.	I have made some changes as per your comments.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	no