

Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4606
Title of the Manuscript:	Field screening of black gram genotypes for resistance against the spotted pod borer, Maruca vitrata (Fabricius) under southern Telangana conditions, India
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Screening is mandatory for genotypes. Through screening, we can find out about resistant varieties and susceptible varieties and inform farmers about them and also, we can find out about varieties resistant to pod borer.	No comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the article appropriate	No comments
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is suitable but add the criteria	No comments
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct	No comments
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	If you have additional reference suggestions, please indicate them. References preferably five years back.	NO comments

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of articles in English is suitable for scientific communication	No comments
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This research article is good because it provides genotype testing for farmers.	No comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues