

Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4578
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the water quality status of Alsand Lake, Dist. Sangli (M.S), India: Ecological conservation and sustainability
Type of the Article	Original research artical

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Now a days, due to anthropogenic intervention, water bodies are facing pollution in this context the present study is very significant	Nil
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	Nil

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	Nil
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	Nil
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient . but few references are not in alphabetical order	Regarding your suggestion I checked references and arranged them in alphabetic order. Correction are highlighted in manuscript in blue colour.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	Nil
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The present paper can be accepted with minor changes	Nil

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Nil