

Name:	<u>UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4565
Title of the Manuscript:	New Record of a Phoretic Pseudoscorpion Species, <i>Chelifer museorum</i> (Arachnida: Pseudoscorpiones) Associated with Pelage of Bats in Lakhimpur-Kheri, Uttar Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>This report may be useful because of a pseudoscorpion record of phoresy with bats is still poor. However this manuscript has some problems on a scientific viewpoint basically, so I do not accept this article.</p> <p>Firstly the authors have not follow after current taxonomy and systematics of pseudoscorpions: I recommend you strongly seeing World Pseudoscorpiones Catalog https://wac.nmbe.ch/order/pseudoscorpiones/3 and read several review of current pseudoscorpion taxonomy.</p> <p>I could not identify this species as <i>Cheiridium museorum</i> by the descriptions and figures: you have to write morphological characteristics more detail including some chaetotaxies/measurements and show another photographs (and/or sketches) as figures additionally.</p> <p>You did not write and show in detail the ectoparasites of bats observed in this study. Your conclusion, "This association may be considered as obligate commensalism with bat species. " cannot be provided based on your observations and descriptions. You have to survey some reference about <i>C. museorum</i> and also review latest study with phoresy of pseudoscorpion.</p>	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No