

Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4558
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of Melon Fruit fly, <i>Zeugodacus cucurbitae</i> (Coquillett) with Improved Protein Bait Formulation
Type of the Article	Original research article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Control fruit fly with promising idea as gel Protinex lure is very fascinating subject can supply the integrating pest management with new tool to be rich. The poison baits is very helpful to control some pest and its application to control fruit fly need more investigation to show differences. The very big experiment like this push surveys to attain correct data.	yes

Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is very suitable and convenient	yes
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes the abstract is very comprehensive and all points included	yes
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This word written as (cuelure ) or as (cue-lure)	cuelure
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references may be sufficient or need some more but I feel this article references is enough because it matches with the new subject like this. The reference (Tukey, 1977) is missing, and the reference Moreno and Mangan not correct.	Two more references were added Tukey 1977 reference added Moreno and Mangan reference corrected
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the English language is written perfectly and clear	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	no