

Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_UPJOZ_4555</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mode of action of retinoic acid in the regulation of glucose metabolism in mud crab, <i>Scylla serrata</i>: evidence for the involvement of CHH</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Important area of study, mostly due to the complex interactions between retinoids, hormone signaling, and metabolic processes in crustaceans. In the context of <i>S. serrata</i> , this research is particularly relevant because it helps in understanding how retinoic acid (RA) influences glucose metabolism and the potential involvement of Crustacean Hyperglycemic Hormone (CHH).	Noted

Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	--
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	--
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	--
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	--
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	--
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	--