

Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4553
Title of the Manuscript:	Biotyping of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus Isolated from Poultry Meat Sold in Wasit Markets of Iraq
Type of the Article	Original research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		

<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make the title more engaging or specific, e.g., “Innovative Biotyping Analysis of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Poultry from Wasit Markets, Iraq.” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank you very much for your comments. The title has been edited, however the word innovative has not been included because the method is already used according to Devriese Scheme. Thus the title was edited to: Biotyping Analysis of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Poultry from Wasit Markets, Iraq
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The abstract provides a clear summary of the study, including its objectives, methods, key findings, and conclusions. • Some sentences are overly long and could reduce readability. • The phrase “We need to do more study...” is informal and could be revised. • Shorten and clarify sentences for better readability. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank you very much for your comments. The abstract has been rewritten according to reviewer’s suggestion
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The references are comprehensive and appropriately cited. • Formatting inconsistencies in some entries. • Ensure uniform formatting based on a chosen citation style (e.g., APA or Vancouver). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank you very much for your comments. • Thank you very much for your comments. References and formatting checked • Thank you very much for your comments. All references were united in APA style.

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>General Notes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avoid informal phrases like “hot topic” or “it is clear from all of the evidence.” • Focus on synthesizing information rather than quoting excessively. <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank you very much for your comments. Done • Thank you very much for your comments. Done • Thank you very much for your comments. We corrected the article based on reviewer's suggestion in the attached file.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	