

Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4543
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN SHELL LENGTH AND OTHER LINEAR MEASUREMENTS OF DOG CONCH ( <i>Laevistrombus canarium</i> ) COLLECTED FROM THE SUBTIDAL ZONE OF INFANTA, PANGASINAN, PHILIPPINES: A PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT
Type of the Article	Research article

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a bio-resource, tradable one. Availability of species also important.  ❖ Please include the aim or objective of the work at the end of the Introduction section.	The aim was included. Please see highlighted part.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an	Yes.	

alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<b>Good work.</b> This article can/may be published after adding the aim or objective of the research work in the Introduction section  I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer.	

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	