

Name:	<a href="#">UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4543
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN SHELL LENGTH AND OTHER LINEAR MEASUREMENTS OF DOG CONCH (Laevistrombus canarium) COLLECTED FROM THE SUBTIDAL ZONE OF INFANTA, PANGASINAN, PHILIPPINES: A PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT
Type of the Article	

### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The importance of this manuscript for the scientific community is that it provides knowledge in the field of zoology relating to environmental factors that influence gastropods.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The research level in this scientific work is very good	

<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Writing an abstract must contain elements of background, methods, results and conclusions.  - The maximum number of words in the abstract is 200 words	Methods used were included in the abstract.
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, but for the morphology of gastropod shells, the size of the part of the image that is visible is not yet clear and an explanation is needed regarding the relationship between the shell and the environmental conditions of the subtidal zone, especially the condition of the substrate and its physiology, the process of shell accretion, due to the reaction of CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Unfortunately, the condition of the environment where the samples were collected was not assessed. However, some literatures were cited to provide some information on the effect of environmental conditions on shell growth.
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	the reference is very good and up to date	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	he said, substantively his article writing was very good and correct in writing a scientific work	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>	research is needed regarding morphometrics and meristics for the gastropods studied and it is necessary to draw a relationship between the state of the substrate and the morphometrics and meristics of gastropods	

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	