

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOAIR_4559
Title of the Manuscript:	Broiler Farming in Hathazari, Bangladesh: A Study of Management and Outcomes.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is appropriate, and a good job was done in carrying out the study. The report only needs more detailed information for the readers to understand the findings of the author(s)	Thank you for the comment and suggestion. As per suggestion we have added more detail information.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title needs a modification. The suggested title is: Broiler Farming in Hathazari Region of Bangladesh: A Study of Management Practices.	Thank you for the suggestion.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and the areas to be deleted or improved upon are already indicated in the paper.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct. However, the author(s) need to improve on some parts of the manuscript.	We have improved the indicated parts of the manuscript.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	They are sufficient but a larger percentage of the references are more than 10 years old. The author(s) is/are advised to look for recent references.	We have added the recent references which were mentioned by the reviewer.

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of the article can be made better. The author(s) is/are advised to use Grammarly or other English correcting applications in their subsequent manuscripts.	We have revised the article thoroughly with the help of Grammarly and corrected the mistakes.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is good for publication. However, the author(s) need(s) to address all the comments made for the paper to be fully accepted for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	