

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Medical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOAIMS_4590
Title of the Manuscript:	UNRAVELING THE IMPACT OF HUMAN METAPNEUMOVIRUS (hMPV) ON PEDIATRIC RESPIRATORY HEALTH IN INDIA
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may	There is increasing concern about respiratory virus transmission in China, especially hMPV. Also in India, cases of hMPV have been reported. Public health recommendations focus on preventive measures similar to other respiratory viruses, emphasizing proper hygiene measures and avoiding contact with sick people to minimize the risk of transmission.	Updated
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions	Yes, the abstract is written very eloquently and fluently	

Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically well written, but there is conflicting information in some sentences, for example, statistics about China.	Updated
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention	Yes, it is enough	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	