

Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4567
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Phytoplankton abundance of Ranchi Lake (Bada Talab)
Type of the Article	RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In such studies, in order to fully determine the species list in the selected area, it is necessary to take samples in 4 seasons and the number of stations should be determined according to the size of the area.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the scientific article writing process, it is important to write the species names and the Latin names of the supergroups they belong to correctly.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	There are many scientific deficiencies in the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The bibliography is quite insufficient, for this purpose the following references are suggested.	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is not adequate.	
Optional/General comments	<p>Please read these articles and rewrite again paper. international sources should be used in the conclusion and discussion. for example;</p> <p>Atıcı, T. (2022). Algal Diversity and Potential Cyanobacteria Toxicity in Tuz Lake Special Environmental Protection Area Lakes. <i>Türler ve Habitatlar</i>, 3 (2): 94–109.</p> <p>Atıcı T., Zhuzbayeva A. (2016). Algae and water qualities of Badam Dam Reservoir Kazakhstan, Biological Diversity and Conservation, cilt.9, sa.2, ss.34-43, 2016.</p> <p>Atıcı T. & Obalı, E. O. (2010). The Diatoms of Asartepe Dam Lake (Ankara) with Environmental and Some Physico-Chemical Properties, Turkish Journal of Botany, 34: 6.</p> <p>Atıcı, T., Obalı, O., Akköz, C. and Elmacı, A. Isolation and Identification of Halophytic Algae from Salty Soil Around Salt Lake of Turkey, Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 2001, 4(3) pp. 298–300.</p> <p>Atıcı, T. (2018). Use of Cluster Analyze and Smilarity of Algae in Eastern Black Sea Region Glacier Lakes (Turkey), Key Area: Artabel Lakes Natural Park, <i>Gazi University Journal of Science</i>, vol.31, no.1, pp.25-40.</p> <p>Atıcı, T. ve Obalı, O., A Taxonomic Study on phytoplankton of Lake Abant (Bolu), The Herb Journal of Sistematic Botany, 2002, 9 (1), pp. 143- 151.</p> <p>Atıcı, T. Planktonic Algae of Sarıyar Dam, Section: II- Chlorophyta, SDÜ. Eğirdir Journal of the Faculty of Fisheries,, (2001–2002), 8, pp. 128–151.</p>	

	<p>Atıcı, T. Planktonic Algae of Sarıyar Dam, Section: III-Bacillariophyta, SDÜ. Eğirdir Journal of the Faculty of Fisheries, (2001–2002), 8, pp. 1–25.</p> <p>Atıcı T., Wisespongpad P., Orhan I., Şener B., Evaluation of Some Biological Activities of Chara hispida L. Ege Üniversitesi Su Ürünleri Fakültesi Dergisi, 2001, 18 (1), 167-171.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tahir ATICI
Department, University & Country	Gazi University, Turkey