Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4503
Title of the Manuscript:	Push-Pull Strategies and Habitat Manipulation for Sustainable Insect Pest Management in Crops
Type of the Article	Review article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/

Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is the need of time to make aware about latest plant species that act as repellent and attractants. How the ecological engineering should be applied in the field.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Push-Pull Strategies and Habitat Manipulation for Sustainable Insect Pest Management- An overview	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Good	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Good	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some of reference of figures and tables should also incoroporate	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer,
		correct the manuscript and highlight that part in
		the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors
		should write his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mukesh Nitharwal
Department, University & Country	Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, India