Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4492
Title of the Manuscript:	Production of Bacillus subtilis amylases via agroresidues and their application in cassava starch hydrolysis
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/

Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1.The manuscript titled "Production of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> amylases via agroresidues and their application in cassava starch hydrolysis" is a well-written, interesting, and scientifically merit work under the special issue of the symbiotic bacteria of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> based on their α-amylase enzyme-producing isolated from intestine fish normal flora species bacteria and era of animal microbiology or apply microbiology in food quality. 2.Overall, I believe this manuscript has the potential to be published in Journal of UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY. 3. Therefore, it is proposed that this manuscript be accepted for publication in this journal after minor revision.	

Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, it is brief, succinct, and highly direct.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, it is brief, succinct, and highly direct.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	1.The content of this manuscript aims to introduce functional and beneficial microorganisms for human use in the future as food. 2.Choosing the appropriate research methodology for the manuscript's structure is crucial. 3.Most English usage is grammatically correct. 4.This manuscript still lacks some crucial details that are necessary for evaluating the experiment's results, for example statistics analysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference list is current and updated.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	-Most English usage is grammatically correct but it is recommended to check the spelling.	
Optional/General comments	Authors should check that the scientific units used in the content are formatted in accordance with the journal's guidelines and consistent throughout the text. -Authors should re-check the journal format.	
	guidelines and consistent throughout the text.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Srisan Phupaboon
Department, University & Country	Khon Kaen University, Thailand