Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4492
Title of the Manuscript:	Production of Bacillus subtilis amylases via agroresidues and their application in cassava starch hydrolysis
Type of the Article	

## **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

## **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</a>

Benefits for Reviewers: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</a>

#### **PART 1:** Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Using agroresidues and coming out with a product is purely based on the concept wealth out of waste. It is essential and need of the hour work.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Good work	

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in
		the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors
		should write his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Radha Palaniswamy
Department, University & Country	Dr. NGP Arts and Science College, India