

Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4492
Title of the Manuscript:	Production of Bacillus subtilis amylases via agroresidues and their application in cassava starch hydrolysis
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript carries scientific relevance and the importance of the enzyme and its application is well portrayed in this research work	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the research article is relevant to the research work	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and includes a brief report of the procedures and results.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically relevant	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The research article has relevant reviews of literature but recent reviews can be included	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the manuscript is appreciated	
Optional/General comments	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript There are no competing issues in this research paper There is no plagiarism in this research paper	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C.Initha Lebanon Ebency
Department, University & Country	Annai Violet Arts and Science College, University of Madras, India