

Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_UPJOZ_4425</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Regulation of the estrous cycle effects in albino mice using Geinstein, isolated from Flemingia vestita, an ethnomedicinally important plant of Meghalaya, India</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work is of utmost importance to the scientific community because the work is based on an ethnomedicinally important plant, <i>Flemingia vestita</i> . It explains the phytochemical properties of the root-tuber extract. It also modulates the effect on the Estrous Cycle in albino mice using Geinsein.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p><b>Critical examination of the paper reveals that the paper is written in a clear understandable scientific language and the authors has taken immense efforts to generate the data and prepare this paper.</b></p> <p><b>The results are presented in the form of tables, pictures, description, graphs, and necessary statistical methods are applied wherever necessary. The results of the study are good and critical observations have been made by the students.</b></p> <p>No, I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer</p>	

## **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Varsha Dhurvey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>RTM Nagpur University, India</b>