

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOAIR_4550
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Analysis and Supply Chain of Backyard Pig Rearing in Tribal Households of Rangamati District, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Survey type research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study seems to be good because it covers about economy of tribal households in Bangladesh. This manuscript focuses on supply chain and benefit cost ratio of rearing pigs in selected place and selected community of Bangladesh. So this manuscript has importance for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, suitable	

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is ok. Few corrections should be made. I marked this. Few corrections should be made. I marked this in text. Check this data as per indicated in table in result section. Be sure this data should be in result section.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Of course, correct because the manuscript has all parts well written I marked in text. Please follow and correct it.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ok	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Minor corrections or revisions needed. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramesh Prasad Sah
Department, University & Country	Nepal Agricultural Research Council, Nepal