Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOAIR_4532
Title of the Manuscript:	Chronic Kidney Disease with Cardiomyopathy in a Dog: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

## **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

## **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</a>

Benefits for Reviewers: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</a>

#### **PART 1:** Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A case report regarding CKD along with cardiomyopathy is reported. CKD normally goes undetected in the early stages. The article highlights the early diagnosis and treatment for this condition which may be helpful to the clinicians at large. However, one case cannot be used as a referral point and it is suggested that studies may be done on a minimum of 6-10 dogs.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	

Is the abstract of the article	Abstract highlights the major points covered in the article. The	
comprehensive? Do you	term dilated cardiomyopathy may be replaced with hypertrophic	
suggest the addition (or	cardiomyopathy	
deletion) of some points in		
this section? Please write		
your suggestions here. Is the manuscript	Corrections have been indicated in the text of the manuscript	
scientifically, correct?	Corrections have been indicated in the text of the manuscript	
Please write here.	Additional comments in the section 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4 may be	
i ioudd iiiid iididi	attended to and added in the text of the article.	
Are the references sufficient	References pertaining to humans may be removed	
and recent? If you have		
suggestions of additional	The following reference may be referred to and added in the	
references, please mention	article –	
them in the review form.	E.B. Rebez and Ninan J. (2024). Biomarkers in the	
	cardiovascular system of animals: A review. Indian Journal of	
	Animal Health 63(1): 22-28.	
	Doi:https://doi.org/10.36062/ijah.2024.08023	
	References may be written in the correct format of the AJOAIR	
Is the language/English	Yes	
quality of the article suitable		
for scholarly		
communications?		
Optional/General comments	Based on X-Ray and cardiac troponin I levels DILATED	
	cardiomyopathy cannot be determined	
	Cardiac troponin levels are only indicative of cardiac myopathy. It	
	cannot be used a marker for DILATED cardiomyopathy.	
	The term Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy could be a more correct	
	term.	
	CKD diagnosis requires comprehensive approach and is irreversible. Hence it is difficult to conclude that CKD has been	
	reversible. Hence it is difficult to conclude that CKD has been reversed with two months of therapy.	
	Teversed with two months of therapy.	
	It is suggested that the results may be supported with the help of echocardiography.	
	echocardiography.	

For developing adequate referral values, it is suggested that further studies may be done on a minimum of 10-20 dogs.	
The article may be accepted with major revisions as indicated by the reviewer in the text of the manuscript and also in the Reviewers comments.	
PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer,
		correct the manuscript and highlight that part in
		the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors
		should write his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ninan Jacob
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Veterinary Education and Research, India