

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Advances in Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJOAIR_4532 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Chronic Kidney Disease with Cardiomyopathy in a Dog: A Case Report |
| Type of the Article | Case report |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | <p>The case report contains significant flaws that render it unsuitable or unhelpful for the scientific community. Gold-standard diagnostic workups were not performed, and there is insufficient evidence to support the association of cardiomyopathy and hypertension with chronic kidney disease.</p> <p>Case reports on chronic kidney disease in dogs are abundant, raising questions about whether this manuscript provides any additional value to the veterinary community.</p> <p>The authors should thoroughly review and revise the entire case. In addition, it is advisable to have the manuscript proofread for grammar.</p> | |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> | <p>No. Given the lack of evidence for cardiomyopathy, the title is not suitable.</p> <p>Recommendation: Chronic kidney disease in a dog: A case report</p> | |
| <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p> | <p>The entire abstract should be revised by focussing only on chronic kidney disease and omitting dilated cardiomyopathy and hypertension.</p> | |
| <p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p> | <p>No for following reasons:</p> <p>1. Misdiagnosis of dilated cardiomyopathy DCM cannot be diagnosed based solely on troponin-I levels. A definitive diagnosis requires echocardiography to document eccentric hypertrophy and reduced systolic function of the left ventricle. As the authors did not provide echocardiographic findings, the diagnosis of DCM is questionable and highly unlikely.</p> <p>The lateral chest radiograph does not show evidence of an enlarged cardiac silhouette. The authors should include the vertebral heart score (VHS) and vertebral left atrial size (VLAS) of the dog, along with specifying whether the lateral view was taken from the right or left side.</p> <p>The troponin-I level was only mildly elevated, with a reported value of 0.39 ng/mL (reference range: 0.05–0.24 ng/mL). This mild increase is most likely attributable to reduced renal clearance rather than indicative of myocardial injury or cardiomyopathy in this case.</p> <p>Indication for pimobendan medication is questionable in the dog without clear evidence of cardiomyopathy.</p> <p>2. Diagnosis and management of systemic hypertension</p> | |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| | <p>The authors did not report the blood pressure readings or the measurement method (e.g., oscillometry or Doppler) in the manuscript. Additionally, the use of amlodipine as sole therapy without the addition of an ACE inhibitor is not recommended in dogs. Given the presence of proteinuria, the administration of an ACE inhibitor is particularly important but was not included in the treatment for this case.</p> <p>3. Diagnosis of chronic kidney disease</p> <p>The authors reported that the dog was dehydrated and exhibited polyuria and polydipsia, which are suggestive of CKD. Blood tests revealed elevated creatinine, urea, and phosphorus levels, along with proteinuria. However, it was not specified whether the pre-treatment blood sample was collected under fasting conditions, nor was there any mention of whether the SDMA level was measured. Dehydration and meal intake can significantly influence renal parameters and should be considered and discussed.</p> <p>Hematology results should be included, and the authors should clarify whether the dog had any concurrent systemic inflammation, as this could potentially affect both renal findings and troponin levels.</p> <p>Furthermore, the authors should provide clear, high-quality ultrasound images. The image acquisition and quality in Figure 2 are inadequate and unacceptable for publication.</p> | |
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> | <p>Yes</p> | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | No. The authors should get the manuscript proofread for grammar. | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | The manuscript should be structured as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction 2. Case Presentation: This section should include patient signalment, clinical and physical examinations, laboratory findings, ultrasonography, radiography, differential diagnosis, final diagnosis, treatment, and outcome. 3. Discussion 4. Conclusion 5. References | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Name: | Leong Zi Ping |
| Department, University & Country | Malaysia |