

Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4534
Title of the Manuscript:	The Fiddler Crab of the Sundarbans: A Call for Conservation
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is of significant contributions for the workers or researches of conservation and those works on crab ecology.	Thank you.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thank you.

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Thank you.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The overall concept of the manuscript is scientifically robust. The frequency of sample collection not mentioned here. Not clear whether the researcher collects the crab samples or they got from fishermen. In, Introduction section, <i>Uca sp</i> written sp will be in normal format not in italics.	Thank you. Modification done as suggested.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Thank you.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is understandable, but I believe it can be better	Thank you.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	