

Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_4425
Title of the Manuscript:	Regulation of the estrous cycle effects in albino mice using Geinsein, isolated from Flemingia vestita, an ethnomedicinally important plant of Meghalaya, India
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript exceeds 5,000 words, making it more similar to a thesis than a journal article. It requires summarization to align with the standard manuscript format.	Thank you for the suggestion.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Ok

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, need more addition in result and discussion	Thanks for your valuable comments. Modified the article as per your suggestion
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No. it was a thesis. Author should summaries into 5000 word only maximum	Thank you for the suggestion.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	the references are insufficient in number and require updating. It is recommended to include more recent references, preferably from the last 10 years	Thank you for the suggestion.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	All the corrections have been tried to incorporate

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	